



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,270	09/30/2002	Akira Ohmura	106121.07	2234
25944 7590 01/26/2007 OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			EXAMINER	
			HERNANDEZ, NELSON D	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/065,270	OHMURA, AKIRA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nelson D. Hernandez	2622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION.  Poply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	October 2006.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,12-14,17 and 18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,12-14,17 and 18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	• .				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 October 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	·				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings were received on October 27, 2006. These drawings are acceptable.

## Specification

2. The Examiner acknowledges the new title filed on October 27, 2006. New title is acceptable.

### Response to Amendment

3. The Examiner acknowledges the amended claims filed on October 27, 2006. Claims 1 and 4 have been amended. Claims 6-11 and 15-16 have been canceled.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/065,270 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 12, 14, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berstis, US Patent 6,721,001 B1 in view of Anderson, US Patent 6,507,363 B1 and further in view of Morikawa, US Patent 5,528,285.

Regarding claim 1, Berstis discloses a digital image storage system (See fig. 1) comprising: a digital camera (Fig. 1: 102) having a memory (Fig. 2: 214 and fig. 4) capable of storing digital images; a docking station (Fig. 1: 106) on which the digital camera can be placed to transmit digital images stored in the digital camera memory through the docking station; and a data storage (by teaching that the images are transmitted to a server or a computer system, Berstis inherently discloses a data storage having a storage medium for storing the digital images since a storage medium; col. 2, lines 40-46; col. 4, lines 53-63) having a storage medium that stores the digital images transmitted through the docking station, the data storage having a housing that is separated from a housing that has the docking station (by teaching that the images are transmitted to personal computer (fig. 2: 219; col. 2, lines 15-39), Berstis inherently discloses that the data storage has a housing that is separated from a housing that has the docking station (fig. 1: 106), since a housing is an inherent feature of a personal computer) (Col. 1, lines 45-50; col. 2, line 15 – col. 3, line 8; col. 4, lines 29-63).

Berstis does not explicitly disclose a controller that controls the data storage so that the transmitted digital images are stored in a predetermined folder prepared in the storage medium and that the docking station includes an indicator that indicates a state of communication between the digital camera and the data storage.

However, as taught in figs. 10 and 11, Anderson teaches a method and system for generating a plurality of folders for multiple devices and multiple sessions in a digital camera wherein when a camera is going to transfer image data to a second camera, the second camera would create a new folder to store the images sent by the first camera (Col. 8, line 18 – col. 9, line 15). Creating a folder for receiving the images in an external apparatus is advantageous because it would allow efficient generation and retrieval of images from folders and also avoids name conflicts.

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Berstis in view of Anderson as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Berstis by having a controller that controls the data storage so that the transmitted digital images are stored in a predetermined folder prepared in the storage medium. The motivation to do so would have been to improve the digital image storage system by allowing efficient generation and retrieval of images from folders and also avoiding name conflicts as suggested by Anderson (Col. 9, line 37 – col. 10, line 3).

The combined teaching of Berstis in view of Anderson fails to teach that the docking station includes an indicator that indicates a state of communication between the digital camera and the data storage.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2622

However, Morikawa teaches a TV telephone system (See fig. 1), comprising a camera (Fig. 1: 100); a docking station (Fig. 1: 151); wherein, the camera transmit image data to another apparatus such as a storage medium (VCR) through a telephone system or a different output in the docking station (Morikawa teaches sending the image data to a VCR; see col. 19, lines 35-55); the docking station comprising an indicator (Fig. 2: 154) that indicates a state of communication between the digital camera and the data storage (Morikawa teaches the indicator 154 to indicate the communication state of the system and also teaches the different communication states as shown in col. 17, lines 1-46; col. 18, lines 17-39) (Col. 7, lines 29-37; col. 10, lines 40-45; col. 17, lines 1-46; col. 18, lines 17-39).

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Berstis in view of Anderson and further in view of Morikawa as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Berstis and Anderson by including to the docking station, an indicator to indicate a state of communication between the digital camera and the data storage. The motivation to do so would have been to help the user monitoring the status of the communication so that the user realizes whether the system is working properly.

Regarding claim 2, the combined teaching of Berstis in view of Anderson and further in view of Morikawa as applied to claim 1 teaches that the controller automatically prepares a subfolder within the predetermined folder prepared in the storage medium (in fig. 1, Anderson teaches creating subfolders or session folders with

Application/Control Number: 10/065,270

Art Unit: 2622

the motivation of avoiding the complexity of searching for a particular image as the number of stored images increases; col. 6, lines 50-62).

Regarding claim 4, Berstis discloses a digital image storage system (See fig. 1) comprising: a digital camera (Fig. 1: 102) having a memory (Fig. 2: 214 and fig. 4) capable of storing digital images; a docking station (Fig. 1: 106) on which the digital camera can be placed for transmission of the digital images from the digital camera memory; and a data storage (by teaching that the images are transmitted to a server or a computer system, Berstis inherently discloses a data storage having a storage medium for storing the digital images since a storage medium; col. 2, lines 40-46; col. 4, lines 53-63) having a storage medium that stores the digital images that have been transmitted from the digital camera memory through the docking station, the data storage having a housing that is separated from a housing that has the docking station (by teaching that the images are transmitted to personal computer (fig. 2: 219; col. 2, lines 15-39), Berstis inherently discloses that the data storage has a housing that is separated from a housing that has the docking station (fig. 1: 106), since a housing is an inherent feature of a personal computer) (Col. 1, lines 45-50; col. 2, line 15 - col. 3, line 8; col. 4, lines 29-63).

Berstis does not explicitly disclose that the data storage includes a controller that prepares a folder in the storage medium in advance of the transmission of the digital images and stores the transmitted digital images in the folder and that the docking station includes an indicator that indicates a state of communication between the digital camera and the data storage.

However, as taught in figs. 10 and 11, Anderson teaches a method and system for generating a plurality of folders for multiple devices and multiple sessions in a digital camera wherein when a camera is going to transfer image data to a second camera, the second camera would create a new folder to store the images sent by the first camera (Col. 8, line 18 – col. 9, line 15). Creating a folder for receiving the images in an external apparatus is advantageous because it would allow efficient generation and retrieval of images from folders and also avoids name conflicts.

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Berstis in view of Anderson as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at he time the invention was made to modify Berstis by having the data storage including a controller that prepares a folder in the storage medium in advance of the transmission of the digital images and stores the transmitted digital images in the folder. The motivation to do so would have been to improve the digital image storage system by allowing efficient generation and retrieval of images from folders and also avoiding name conflicts as suggested by Anderson (Col. 9, line 37 – col. 10, line 3).

The combined teaching of Berstis in view of Anderson fails to teach that the docking station includes an indicator that indicates a state of communication between the digital camera and the data storage.

However, Morikawa teaches a TV telephone system (See fig. 1), comprising a camera (Fig. 1: 100); a docking station (Fig. 1: 151); wherein, the camera transmit image data to another apparatus such as a storage medium (VCR) through a telephone system or a different output in the docking station (Morikawa teaches sending the image

Art Unit: 2622

data to a VCR; see col. 19, lines 35-55); the docking station comprising an indicator (Fig. 2: 154) that indicates a state of communication between the digital camera and the data storage (Morikawa teaches the indicator 154 to indicate the communication state of the system and also teaches the different communication states as shown in col. 17, lines 1-46; col. 18, lines 17-39) (Col. 7, lines 29-37; col. 10, lines 40-45; col. 17, lines 1-46; col. 18, lines 17-39).

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Berstis in view of Anderson and further in view of Morikawa as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Berstis and Anderson by including to the docking station, an indicator to indicate a state of communication between the digital camera and the data storage. The motivation to do so would have been to help the user monitoring the status of the communication so that the user realizes whether the system is working properly.

Regarding claim 12, the combined teaching of Berstis in view of Anderson and further in view of Morikawa as applied to claim 1 teaches that the controller is housed by the data storage (Anderson discloses creating the folders in the second camera; col. 8, line 18 – col. 9, line 15; see also col. 4, lines 35-46).

Regarding claim 14, the combined teaching of Berstis in view of Anderson and further in view of Morikawa as applied to claim 4 teaches that the controller automatically prepares the folder in the storage medium in advance of the transmission of the digital images (See Anderson fig. 10, wherein the second camera is creating the

Application/Control Number: 10/065,270

Art Unit: 2622

folder (step 513) in advance to the transmission of images (steps 514 and 515); col. 8, line 18 – col. 9, line 15).

Regarding claim 17, the combined teaching of Berstis in view of Anderson and further in view of Morikawa as applied to claim 1 teaches that the docking station has a shape to fit a bottom of the digital camera (See Berstis fig.1, docking station 106 has a shape to fit a bottom part of the digital camera 102; col. 2, lines 15-39).

Regarding claim 18, the combined teaching of Berstis in view of Anderson and further in view of Morikawa as applied to claim 4 teaches that the docking station has a shape to fit a bottom of the digital camera (See Berstis fig.1, docking station 106 has a shape to fit a bottom part of the digital camera 102; col. 2, lines 15-39).

7. Claims 3, 5 and 13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berstis, US Patent 6,721,001 B1 and Anderson, US Patent 6,507,363 B1 in view of Morikawa, US Patent 5,528,285 and further in view of Tomat, US Patent 6,784,925 B1.

Regarding claim 3, the combined teaching of Berstis in view of Anderson and further in view of Morikawa fails to teach that the controller names the subfolder based on a date when the controller prepared the folder.

However, naming folders based on a date that the folder was created is notoriously well known in the art as taught by Tomat. As shown in figs. 22, 44, 45, 46 and 47, Tomat teaches naming folders (referred as canisters) wherein said folders are named based on the date (i.e. 4/30/96-5/3/96 indicating the first and the last dates when

Art Unit: 2622

the images were recorded in said folder) (Col. 14, line 31 – col. 15, line 53). Naming the folders based on a creation date is advantageous because it would help organize the image data efficiently so the user would not have trouble of searching for a particular image when having a large number of stored images.

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Berstis and Anderson in view of Morikawa and further in view of Tomat as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the digital image storage system by having the controller naming the subfolder based on a date when the controller prepared the folder. The motivation to do so would have been to improve the digital image storage system since by naming the folders based on the creation date allows to organize the image data efficiently so the user would not have trouble of searching for a particular image when having a large number of stored images.

Regarding claim 5, limitations can be found in claim 3.

Regarding claim 13, limitations can be found in claim 3.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cheever, US Patent 6,275,882 B1 teaches a camera (Fig. 3: 104) connectable to a computer system having an integrated docking station (See fig. 1: 103), wherein said docking station comprises an indicator (Fig. 3: 112) to indicate the status of the

Application/Control Number: 10/065,270

Art Unit: 2622

communication between the camera and the computer system (Col. 4, lines 26-48; col. 5, lines 9-28).

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nelson D. Hernandez whose telephone number is (571) 272-7311. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/065,270 Page 12

Art Unit: 2622

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nelson D. Hernandez Examiner Art Unit 2622

NDHH January 12, 2007

> VIVEK SRIVASTAVA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600